IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, 28TH FEBRUARY, 2007/9TH PHALGUNA 1928

W.P(C) NO: 5966 OF 2007

PETITIONER:

K.V.VASANTHY
JR. SUPERINTENDENT (UNDER SUSPENSION)
ADDL. SUB TREASURY, KOZHIKODE

BY ADV DR.K.P.SATHEESAN

RESPONDENT:

1. THE DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF TREASURIES
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

2. THE DIRECTOR
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28.2.2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)No. 5966 OF 2007

Dated this the 28th February, 2007.

JUDGMENT

As per Ext.P4 order passed by the first respondent, the petitioner has been placed under suspension from the post of Junior Superintendent. It appears that the petitioner had filed representation dated 2.4.2005 (Ext.P5) requesting to reinstate her in service. As per Ext.P6 the request was rejected saying that a crime case registered by the police was under investigation. After waiting for some time the petitioner filed Ext.P10 representation once again requesting the first respondent to review the order of suspension and to reinstate her in service. Ext.P10 was filed on 15.1.2007. The petitioner is under suspension from 21.3.2005 onwards.

2. Heard Govt. Pleader for the respondents.

3. I think, having regard to the lapse of time, interest of justice demands that the first respondent shall review the order of suspension without further delay and seriously consider whether the order of suspension need continue any further. The first respondent can also examine the prospects of posting the petitioner in a place other than the one where she was working as on the date of Ext.P4 so that even if she is reinstated in service there

will be no opportunity for the petitioner to meddle with or interfere with the investigation of the case or disciplinary proceedings, if any, pending against her.

4. Ext.P10 shall be considered on merits and disposed of in accordance with law within three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment and copy of the writ petition before the first respondent for necessary action.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj